

PII: S0040-4020(97)00002-1

Preparation of Optically Active α-Silylcarbonyl Compounds using Asymmetric Alkylation of α-Silylacetic Esters and Asymmetric Metal-Carbene Insertion into the Si-H Bond.

Yannick Landais,* Denis Planchenault

Institut de Chimie Organique, Université de Lausanne Collège Propédeutique, 1015 Lausanne-Dorigny, Switzerland Fax: (41) 021 692 40 05. Email: ylandais@ulys.unil.ch

Abstract: Substituted α -silylacetic esters have been prepared in good yields and with reasonable diastereoselectivities by three different routes. The first two involved alkylation of the parent α -silylacetic ester enolates, with the chiral auxiliaries being present either on silicon or on the ester function. The third route involving asymmetric insertion of metal-carbenoids into the Si-H bond was found to afford better diastereoselectivities, using pantolactone as chiral auxiliary. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

We recently reported on the preparation of α -silylacetic esters such as 3 and their subsequent transformations into 1,2-diols and polysubstituted tetrahydrofurans. Further extension of this work logically implicated the development of a stereoselective access to these substrates. Three different routes were envisioned as illustrated in Scheme 1. The first two methods involve diastereoselective alkylations of prochiral α -silylacetic esters 1 and 2 and the third implicates a diastereoselective insertion of metal-carbenoid 4 into the Si-H bond. In the first approach (route a), the R" substituent is introduced through an asymmetric alkylation with the chiral auxiliary supported by the silicon centre (R). In route b, the R" group is introduced similarly but control of the stereoselectivity is ensured by a chiral auxiliary attached to the ester function (R'). Finally, in route c, the C-Si bond is formed in the last stage of the sequence through an asymmetric insertion of a metal-carbenoid species into the Si-H bond with the chiral vector attached to the ester function. The metal-carbenoid intermediate is itself generated from the corresponding α -diazoester. We report here a full account of our results in this area and demonstrate that route c generally leads to higher diastereoselectivities than the corresponding alkylations.

In route a, we reasoned that a chiral auxiliary attached to the silicon centre would control efficiently the diastereofacial selectivity through a chelation of the metal (Li⁺, Na⁺,...) by its co-ordinating moiety. The preformed tightly bound metal-complex would then hinder one face of the π -system ensuring a selective attack of the alkylating agent from the opposite face (Fig.-1). Different chiral amino-ethers likely to co-ordinate the lithium enolate were thus attached to the silicon centre, using our two steps-one pot procedure ^{1b,c} starting from ethyl diazoacetate (EDA) and HMe₂SiCl (Scheme 2). Rhodium-carbenoid insertion into the Si-H bond of HMe₂SiCl followed by nucleophilic displacement of chloride with suitable amino-alcohols thus afforded 5a-c in

good yield. Alkylation of the enolate of 5a with MeI gave the expected α-silylpropionic ester 6a in 80% yield but with no diastereoselectivity at all !. Similarly, the alkylation of 5b-c gave the desired product in good yield but with 0% d.e. The exact reasons for these failures are not obvious to us but we assume that the co-ordination illustrated in Fig.-1 requires an enolate of Z-configuration, and the conditions we employed presumably would not lead to such enolate geometry. Our attempts to determine the geometry of the enolate through its silyl ketene acetal unfortunately failed. The addition of HMPA to change the geometry of the enolate also failed leading to extensive decomposition of 5a.

These disappointing results led us to turn our attention to the alternative diastereoselective *route* b. The α -silylester precursors were prepared from compounds 8a-d synthesized using a 3-step protocol as depicted in scheme 3. le,4 Reaction of diketene with chiral alcohols 7a-d⁵ afforded the acetoacetate which was then submitted to diazo-transfer conditions^{4,6} (MsN₃, base) producing an α -aceto- α -diazoester intermediate which was directly deacetylated with KOH, affording 8a-d⁴ as stable oils in 40-70% overall yield.

The insertion was then carried out by slowly adding the solution of α -diazoesters in CH₂Cl₂ to a suspension of Rh₂(OAc)₄ and silane in CH₂Cl₂. With HMe₂SiCl, the chlorine was then displaced by isopropanol in the presence of NEt₃. ^{1b,c} The insertion of rhodium-carbenoids generated from 8a and 8b gave, as expected, the corresponding esters 9a-d in good yields (Scheme 4).

However, with the analogues 8c-d, cyclopropanation of the chiral auxiliary's aromatic ring was found to compete to a large extent giving after ring rearrangement, the corresponding 7-membered ring (Scheme 5). 7 α -Diazoester 8c gave, in the presence of PhMe₂SiH, the α -silylester 9e along with the cycloheptatriene 10. In

contrast with **8c**, diazoester **8d** afforded only the cyclopropanation product **11** which could be isolated before its rearrangement into **12** during flash chromatography through silica gel.

The difference in reactivity between 8c-d and their analogue 8b may be attributed to the proximity of the phenyl ring to the metallo-carbenoid species during the process. Alignment of both reactive moieties in 8c and 8d is likely to be at the origin of the competitive cyclopropanation of the aromatic ring. On the other hand, in 8b, the phenyl ring is relatively far away from the carbenoid centre, and hence the intermolecular insertion process is favoured. Interestingly, replacement of the phenyl ring in 8c with an isobutyl substituent eventually allowed us to perform the Si-H insertion reaction in reasonable yield (vide supra).

Alkylation of 9a-d in the same conditions as the above (Scheme 4) led to the expected products 13a-d in good yields but again with disappointing diastereoselectivities (10-20% d.e.). In all cases, the major ester possessed the S configuration (for the determination of absolute configuration, see below). Similar results have been described in the past by Paquette et al.2h on similar menthyl substrates. More surprising were the diastereoselectivities attained with Whitesell's chiral auxiliary (i.e. 7b) if one makes a comparison with the high level of selectivity generally reported in the literature. 8 Similarly, ester 9d afforded no diastereoselectivity at all. 9 The disappointing results described previously prompted us to employ the alternative route c which involves the formation of the carbon-silicon bond in a latter stage of the sequence. This approach was initiated in the early 70's by Kagan et al. 12 as an efficient route for the preparation of amino acids through insertion of a coppercarbenoid into the N-H bond of an amine. More recently, we and others have extended this process to the insertion into Si-H and O-H bonds. 1,13 This strategy relies on the assumption that the approach of a sterically hindered silicon group should experience larger steric interactions with the chiral auxiliaries than the alkyl group in the diastereoselective alkylation described previously, which could lead to increased diastereofacial selectivity. The diazo precursors were prepared as above with the R' group introduced through alkylation of the αacetoacetates 17a-g prior to the diazo-transfer process. 4,6,14 Deacetylation occurs during the diazo-transfer leading to the α-diazoesters 18a-j in 50-70% overall yield. As outlined in scheme 6, various types of chiral auxiliaries are easily introduced using this methodology. 15 As the 8-phenylmenthyl derivative (i.e. 8c) underwent predominantly cyclopropanation of its phenyl ring, we devised an analogue having a t-BuCH₂ group instead of the phenyl ring. 16a was thus prepared in two steps from pulegone 19 following Corey's protocol (see experimental part). 16 Independently, the α -diazobenzylester of pantolactone (i.e. R' = Ph, 22) was directly prepared from the corresponding ester 21 (itself prepared through condensation of phenylacetic acid and 16e) through the diazo-transfer process (see experimental part) 13a,17

With α-diazoesters 18a-i in hand, we then investigated the asymmetric insertion on varying parameters such as the nature of the silane, the catalyst and the solvent (Scheme 7, Table 1). The diastereoselectivities are generally modest but are in any case far superior to those observed during the alkylation following route b. Best results were obtained using Rh₂(OAc)₄ as catalyst (compare entry 1-4). Cu(acac)₂ was found to be less efficient both in terms of yield and diastereoselectivity 1a.e Increasing the reactivity of the catalyst using instead Cu(OTf)2 proved unsuccessful. However, the association of Cu(OTf)2 with sparteine as a ligand led to yields approaching those obtained with Rh₂(OAc)_d. ^{1c} The low selectivity observed in this case was attributed to mismatching of the two chiral auxiliaries (sparteine and menthol). As sparteine occurs naturally as only one enantiomer, we decided to repeat the reaction using (+)-menthyl 18a and clearly observed an improvement of the stereoselectivity along with the expected reversal of the diastereoselectivity (32:68, 62% yield). The nature of the silane was next investigated (entry 5-7) and was found to have little effect on the diastereoselectivity. Surprisingly, the sterically hindered Ph₃Si group led to little or no selectivity. Similarly, changing the polarity of the solvent little affected the diastereoselectivity, with benzene giving lower yields (entry 8-9). This is in good agreement with a more or less concerted mechanism with little charge development in the transition state. 18 The small difference in diastereoselectivity observed on changing the substitution at the silicon centre might be connected with the occurence of an early transition state in which both reactants are far away from each other, the steric interactions hence being relatively weak. 19 This is further corroborated by the similar diastereoselectivities obtained by changing the size of the R' group on \(\alpha\)-diazoesters (entry 10-12). Similarly, changing the nature of the chiral auxiliary had no effect on the diastereoselectivity with the best results being obtained using menthol (entry 13-18). This is also in good agreement with what is suggested above. It is noteworthy that the lower yield obtained with precursor 18c is due to a competitive β-hydride elimination leading to the undesired menthyl cinnamate. 1e,20 Intriguing observations have been made with camphor auxiliaries 18g and 18h. In contrast with the observations of Oppolzer¹⁵ made during Diels-Alder reactions using these chiral auxiliaries, 18g and 18h reacted with the same topicity (entry 16-17). A better diastereoselectivity is however obtained with 18g, indicating, as proposed by Oppolzer, that the angular methyl group in C-1 (Scheme 6) prevents any rotation of the diazoester moiety thus leading to an amplification of the diastereofacial selectivity.

The absolute configurations of the newly created chiral centres in 13a-b and 23a-i were determined through the following two-step sequence: reduction of the ester function and recovery of the chiral auxiliary then oxidation of the C-Si bond with retention of configuration²¹ to produce the desired diols 24a-c in good overall yield (40-60%, 2 steps)(Scheme 7). The value and the sign of the optical rotation of the diols were compared with those described in the literature.²² It must be emphasized that the reduction of the ester function takes place with no

epimerization since the enantiomeric excesses of the diols, measured from ¹H NMR and Eu(hfc)₃ of the corresponding acetals 25,²³ were identical with the diastereoisomeric excesses summarized in Table 1.

Entry	α-diazoester	Silane ^a	Catalyst ^b	Solvent	α-Silylester	Yield (%) ^c	Ratiod	config ^e diol
1	18 a	PhMe ₂ SiH	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	13a	70	72 :28	R
2	18 a	u	Cu(acac) ₂		13a	50	66 : 34	R
3	18a	*	Cu(OTf) ₂	**	13 a	33	56 : 44	R
4	18a	*	Cu(OTf)2-sparteine	н	13a	68	55 : 45	R
5	18a	ClMe ₂ SiH	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	**	13b	74	67 : 33	R
6	18a	Et ₃ SiH	"		23a	72	72 : 28	-
7	18a	Ph ₃ SiH	**	n	23b	66	53 : 47	-
8	18a	PhMe ₂ SiH	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	benzene	13a	48	67 : 33	R
9	18a	u	11	pentane	13a	66	65 : 35	R
10	18b	PhMe ₂ SiH	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	23c	75	70 : 30	R
11	18c	н	u	**	23d	52	61:39	R
12	18 d	н	*	"	23e	70	66 : 34	-
13	18e	PhMe ₂ SiH	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	13c	56	42 : 58	S
14	18e	ClMe ₂ SiH	**	11	13d	70	42:58	S
15	18f	PhMe ₂ SiH	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	n	23f	32	68:32	-
16	18g	*	11	"	23g	73	69:31	-
17	18h	"	П	**	23h	74	59 : 41	-
18	18i	u	п		23i	66	50:50	-

^a 2 equivalents. ^b Anhydrous Rh₂(OAc)₄ (0.5 mol%); Cu(acac)₂ (10 mol%); Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol%); sparteine (10 mol%). ^c Isolated yield. ^d Estimated from the ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture. ^e Obtained by comparison with literature data. ²²

We observed that the configuration of α -silylesters 13a-b obtained from alkylation of 9a-b (Scheme 4) and the configuration of the same esters obtained through asymmetric insertion into the Si-H bond were opposite. This indicates that similar factors govern the stereocontrol in alkylation and asymmetric insertion since the order of introduction of the substituents at the newly created chiral centre is opposite in the two processes. Steric effects are likely to be involved in these reactions with the isopropyl substituent of the menthol shielding one face of the enolate (alkylation) or one face of the metal-carbenoid species (insertion). As depicted in Scheme 8, we assumed, although it has not been proved, that an enolate of *E*-configuration³ (A) and a metal-carbenoid in a strans conformation²⁴ (B) were involved in these processes. It is clear from the conformations A and B that a rotation around the C-O bond would leave the opposite face free for the approach of the alkylating agent or the silane, leading to the opposite diastereoisomer. Such a rotation is likely to be relatively easy, explaining the modest stereoselectivities obtained with all these chiral auxiliaries. 1d,2h

Scheme 8

Considering what is suggested above, it appears that any method which would prevent the rotation of the C-O bond might allow for a higher level of diastereocontrol. The carbon centre of the metallo-carbene possesses electrophilic character and therefore may co-ordinate with electron-rich groups such as carbonyl functions. Recently, Davies and co-workers²⁵ showed that the carbonyl group of amides and lactones effectively interacts with the carbenoid centre presumably forming a cyclic complex. These authors used this attractive feature of metal-carbenoids as an efficient way to control the diastereoselectivity during cyclopropanations. Readily available pantolactone was used for this purpose. It was shown that the carbonyl group of this chiral auxiliary could interact with the electrophilic carbenoid centre leading to a rigid and stabilized transition state where one face of the metal-carbenoid species was masked. While the efficiency of the methodology was firmly established for asymmetric cyclopropanations, such an approach has never been used in insertion reactions.

Using the same conditions as before, we were pleased to find that the Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed reaction of α-diazoesters 18j and 22 with PhMe₂SiH led to the desired α-silylesters in good yields with much higher diastereoselectivities than those observed with menthyl esters (up to 80% d.e.!), thus supporting Davies' hypothesis²⁵ (Scheme 9, Fig.-2). The absolute configurations of the α-silylesters were determined using the same route as before (reduction and oxidation of the C-Si bond). However, and in contrast with the other chiral auxiliaries, partial epimerization was observed during the reduction of pantolactone, leading to diols of lower e.e.²⁶ Nevertheless, we proved that high levels of diastereocontrol can be achieved during insertion into Si-H bonds using chiral auxiliaries capable of co-ordinating the reactive carbenoid centre. Further studies are now under way to find a suitable chiral auxiliary possessing the required features and which can be recovered without epimerization at the chiral centre.

In summary, we have described here an asymmetric route to substituted α -silylacetic esters starting either from the corresponding α -silylacetic esters or from the α -diazoester. In both cases, the chiral auxiliary is attached to the ester function. We observed that better diastereoselectivities are generally obtained with asymmetric metal-carbene insertion. Menthol and related chiral auxiliaries induce the chirality mainly through steric interactions which are not sufficient to efficiently prevent attack on both faces of the π -system. On the contrary, we found that pantolactone leads to higher selectivities. In this case, co-ordination between the chiral auxiliary and the reacting centre probably introduces a stabilization and a rigidification of the transition state. Such a feature is clearly absent with chiral auxiliaries 7a-b and 16a-d. The preliminary results obtained with pantolactone are encouraging and indicate the direction which might be followed in order to get higher diastereoselectivities. Work along these lines is now in progress in our laboratory.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER 250FT (250 MHz) and BRUKER WH-360FT (360 MHz) using CDCl₃ as internal reference unless otherwise indicated. The chemical shifts (δ) and coupling constants (J) are expressed in ppm and Hz respectively. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1710 spectrophotometer. All commercial products were used without further purification.

CH₂Cl₂, hexamethyldisilazane and triethylamine were distilled from CaH₂. THF and ether were distilled from sodium and benzophenone. Toluene was distilled from sodium. HMe₂SiCl was distilled from magnesium before use. (+) and (-)-Menthol, (+)-camphor, (+)-camphorquinone, 7b, 7c, 16d, 16e, pulegone 19 are commercially available (Fluka) and were

used without further purifications. ABSA (Acetylbenzenesulfonyl azide) was prepared according to Davies procedure. 6,27 Methanesulfonyl azide was prepared following Taber's procedure. 6,28

Elemental analyses were performed by the I. Beetz laboratory, W-8640 Kronach (Germany). Mass spectra were recorded on a Nermag R10-10C (Chemical ionization mode, NH₃).

The numbering of the protons in the ¹H NMR spectra of substrates 8d, 10, 11 and 12 have been made according to the numbering outlined in Scheme 5. The numbering of the protons in the ¹H NMR spectra of substrates 17d-e, 18g-h and 23g-h have been made according to the numbering outlined in Scheme 6.

General procedure for the preparation of α -silylacetic esters 5a-c. A solution of ethyl diazoacetate (0.9 ml, 8.7 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (2 ml) was added slowly at room temperature, using a syringe pump (2 mmol/h), to a solution of dimethylchlorosilane (1 ml, 9.2 mmol) and $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (12 mg, 0.025 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (3 ml). The mixture was cooled to 0°C and a solution of triethylamine (1.55 ml, 11 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (1 ml) was added, followed by N-methylephedrine (1.25 g, 7 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (7 ml). The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 2h then treated with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and the organic layer was decanted. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 and the combined extracts were washed with brine then dried over $MgSO_4$. The solvents were then evaporated in vacuo to give a brown oil which was purified by Kugelrohr distillation (130°C, 0.02 mbar) to afford the ester 5a as a colourless oil (1.78 g, 79%). $[\alpha]_D^{2.5} = +36.2$ (C 1.25, $CHCl_3$). 1 H NMR (δ ppm): 7.34-7.19 (5H, m, Aromatic H), 4.86 (1H, d, J 4.5, ArCH), 4.06 (2H, q, J 7.1, $CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 2.69-2.64 (1H, m, CH_2CH_3), 2.28 (6H, s, $N(CH_3)_2$), 1.96 (1H, d, J 12.0, $SiCH_aCH_b$), 1.90 (1H, d, J 12.0, $SiCH_aCH_b$), 1.22 (3H, t, J 7.1, $CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 0.98 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH_3CH), 0.14 (3H, s, $SiCH_3$), 0.10 (3H, s, $SiCH_3$). IR ($CH_2Cl_2(v_{max})$: 1710 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1090, 1070 (Si-O), 1025 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH_3): 324 (M⁺+1, 2), 236 (M⁺- $CH_2CO_2C_2H_5$, 2), 91 ($C_7H_7^+$, 3), 77 ($C_6H_5^+$, 4), 75 ($C_6H_3^+$, 4), 73 ($CO_2C_2H_5^+$, 8), 72 ($^+CH_3CHN(CH_3)_2$, 100). Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{29}O_3SiN$: C, 63.12; H, 9.04; Si, 8.68; N, 4.33. Found: C, 63.02; H, 9.10; Si, 8.65; N, 4.36.

5b. (73%) $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -50.4$ (C 0.23, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.10 (2H, q, J 7.1, CO₂CH₂CH₃), 3.68 (1H, dd, J 5.1, 10.1, NCHCH_aH_bO), 3.52 (1H, dd, J 6.1, 10.1, NCHCH_aH_bO), 3.08-3.01 (1H, m, NCHCH_aH_bO), 2.40 (3H, s, NCH₃), 2.38-2.16 (2H, m, NCH₂), 2.04 (1H, d, J 8.3, SiCH_aCH_b), 2.01 (1H, d, J 8.3, SiCH_aCH_b), 1.90-1.56 (4H, m, 2 x CH₂), 1.25 (3H, t, J 7.1, CO₂CH₂CH₃), 0.24 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂). IR (CH₂Cl₂)(ν _{max}) : 2790, 1710 (C=O), 1540, 1100 (Si-O), 910 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 260 (M⁺·+1, 5), 173 (M⁺·-CHCO₂C₂H₅, 3), 149 (2), 87 (CH₂CO₂C₂H₅⁺·, 9), 85 (C₄H₅O₂⁺·, 53), 83 (C₄H₃O₂⁺·, 100), 84 (C₄H₄O₂⁺·, 52). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₂₅O₃SiN : C, 55.56; H, 9.71; Si, 10.83; N, 5.40. Found : C, 55.43; H, 9.65; Si, 10.97; N, 5.56.

5c. (75%) $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -20.1$ (C 1.3, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 7.28-7.08 (8H, m, Aromatic H), 6.86-6.82 (2H, m, Aromatic H), 4.09 (2H, q, J 7.1, CO₂CH₂CH₃), 3.57 (1H, d, J 14.3, ArCH_aH_b), 3.12 (1H, d, J 14.3, ArCH_aH_b), 2.28 (6H, s, N(CH₃)₂), 2.27-2.04 (3H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.98 (2H, s, SiCH₂), 1.23 (3H, t, J 7.1, CO₂CH₂CH₃), 0.84 (3H, d, J 6.4, CH₃CH), 0.27 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.19 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CH₂Cl₂)(ν _{max}) : 1710 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1100, 1070 (Si-O) cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 428 (M⁺+1, 32), 382 (M⁺-C₂H₅, 8), 370 (M⁺-C₄H₉, 7), 341 (14), 340 (M⁺-CH₂CO₂C₂H₅, 17), 105 (26), 103 (44), 91 (C₇H₇⁺, 67), 77 (C₆H₅⁺, 39), 75 (Si(CH₃)₂OH⁺, 100). Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₇O₃SiN : C, 70.21; H, 8.72; Si, 6.57; N, 3.28. Found : C, 70.08; H, 8.78; Si, 6.69; N, 3.36.

General procedure for the alkylation of α-silylacetic esters 5a-c. To a solution of hexamethyldisilazane (0.14 ml, 0.64 mmol) in dry THF (3 ml) was added at -20°C a 1.6M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (0.35 ml, 0.55 mmol). The solution was stirred at -5°C for 15 minutes then cooled to -60°C and a solution of the ester 5a (0.15 g, 0.46 mmol) in dry THF (1 ml) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at -50°C for 2h then a solution of MeI (0.15 ml, 2.3 mmol) in dry THF (1 ml) was added dropwise at -80°C. The mixture was stirred at -80°C for 2h then treated with a saturated solution of NaHCO3 and the organic layer was decanted. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether and the combined extracts were washed with brine then dried over MgSO₄. The solvents were then evaporated in vacuo to give a yellow oil which was purified by Kugelrohr distillation (130°C, 0.02 mbar) to afford the ester 6a as a colourless oil (0.125 g, 80%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) (2 diastereoisomers): 7.34-7.20 (10H, m, Aromatic H), 4.83 (1H, d, J 4.5, ArCH), 4.79 (1H, d, J 5.0, ArCH), 4.08 (4H, q, J 7.1, $2 \times \text{CO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$), 2.70-2.62 (2H, m, $2 \times \text{CHCH}_3$), 2.27 (6H, s, N(CH₃)₂), 2.26 (6H, s, N(CH₃)₂), 2.15 (1H, q, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 2.07 (IH, q, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.22 (6H, t, J 7.1, 2 x CO₂CH₂CH₃), 1.20 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.18 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.0 (3H, d, J 6.7, CH₃CH), 0.98 (3H, d, J 6.7, CH₃CH), 0.14 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.13 (3H, s, SiCH₃), -0.03 (3H, s, SiCH₃), -0.05 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃) (u_{max}): 2960, 2940 (C-H), 2870, 2810, 2780, 1705 (C=O), 1450, 1375, 1365, 1315, 1255 (Si-C), 1180, 1065 (Si-O), 970, 865 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 354 (M⁺+NH₃, 10), 353 (15), 351 (11), 338 (M⁺+1, 1), 247 (1), 94 (1), 79 (3), 77 (C₆H₅+, 5), 75 (C₆H₃+, 97), 74 (HCO₂C₂H₅+, 100), 73 (CO₂C₂H₅+, 15), 72 (78). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₃₁O₃SiN: C, 64.05; H, 9.26. Found: C, 63.93; H, 9.01.

General procedure for the preparation of α-diazoesters 8c-d. To a solution of 1,7,7-trimethyl-2-naphtylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ol⁵ (3 g, 10.7 mmol), sodium acetate (0.4 g, 4.3 mmol) and methanesulfonyl azide (1.7 g,

13.9 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (10 ml) was added dropwise a solution of diketene (1.65 ml, 21.4 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (3 ml). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, then an additional amount of methanesulfonyl azide (0.64 g, 5.3 mmol) and triethylamine (0.74 ml, 5.3 mmol) were added at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 2h then treated with water. The solution was extracted with ether and the combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in acetonitrile (60 ml) and a 5% solution of KOH (60 ml) was slowly added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5h then extracted with ether. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (Petroleum ether/triethylamine 99.5:0.5) and crystallization in cold pentane afforded 8d as pale yellow crystals (1.33 g, 40%). mp 133-136°C (pentane). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -274.4$ (C 0.94, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 8.56-8.52 (1H, m, Aromatic H), 7.85-7.71 (3H, m, Aromatic H), 7.48-7.38 (3H, m, Aromatic H), 4.69 (1H, broad s, CHN₂), 2.96 (1H, d, J 15.5, H-3 endo), 2.62 (1H, dt, J 3.6, 15.5, H-3 exo), 1.99 (1H, t, J 4.2, H-5 exo), 1.83-1.72 (1H, m, H-4), 1.50-1.39 (1H, m, H-5 endo), 1.28 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.24-1.01 (2H, m, H-6 exo, H-6 endo), 1.14 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.98 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2940 (C-H), 2110 (C=N=N), 1690 (C=O), 1370 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 321 (3), 263 (M+-OCOCHN₂, 100), 262 (14), 219 (5), 210 (11), 170 (12), 168 (14). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄O₂N₂: C, 75.83; H, 6.94; N, 8.04. Found: C, 75.65; H, 7.18; N, 8.08. 8c. As above, 8c was obtained after chromatography as a yellow oil (42%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +1.95$ (C 1.23, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.29-7.27 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.18-7.13 (1H, m, Aromatic H), 4.89 (1H, dt, J 4.2, 10.7, CHOCO), 4.23 (1H, broad s, CHN2), 2.05-1.89 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.74-1.60 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.32 (3H, s, CH3), 1.23 (3H, s, CH3), 1.58-0.80 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.88 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2860, 2110 (CHN₂), 1675 (C=O), 1385, 1250, 1190, 1010 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 272 (M⁺-N₂, 20), 215 (M⁺-OCOCHN₂, 51), 135 (6), 120 (11), 119 ((CH₄)₂CPh⁺, 100), 118 (23), 105 (24), 9 $\bar{1}$ (C₇H₇⁺, 71), 81 (18), 77 (C₆H₅⁺, 12). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₄O₂N₂: C, 71.97; H, 8.05; N, 9.33. Found: C, 71.84; H, 8.15; N, 9.23.

General procedure for the preparation of α-(phenyldimethylsilyl)acetic esters 9a,c,e. A solution of menthyl diazoacetate⁴ (0.5 g, 2.22 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (2 ml) was added slowly at room temperature, using a syringe pump (1.5 mmol/h), to a solution of dimethylphenylsilane (0.38 ml, 2.45 mmol) and $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (8 mg, 0.017 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (3 ml). The solvent was then evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by flash chromatography (Petroleum ether/EtOAc/NEt₃ 98.5/1/0.5) to give 9a as a colourless oil (0.59 g, 80%). [α]_D²⁵ = -37.4 (C 1.28, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.57-7.52 (2H, m, Aromatic H), 7.40-7.35 (3H, m, Aromatic H), 4.61 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.8, CHOCO), 2.15 (1H, d, J 12.0, SiCH_aH_b), 2.09 (1H, d, J 12.0, SiCH_aH_b), 1.89-0.80 (9H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.87 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH₃), 0.84 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.71 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃), 0.41 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂). IR (CHCl₃)(υ_{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2860, 1700 (C=O), 1255, 1115, 1095, 990, 835 cm⁻¹. MS (Cl, NH₃): 317 (M⁺-CH₃, 8), 275 (7), 255 (M⁺-Ph, 29), 179 (65), 154 (8), 138 (Cl₁₀H₁₈+, 21), 137 (C₁₀H₁₇+, 51), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+, 61), 117 (100), 95 (21), 83 (36). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₂O₂Si: C, 72.23; H, 9.70; Si, 8.45. Found: C, 72.26; H, 9.79; Si, 8.41.

9c. (70%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -32.6$ (C 1.11, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm) : 7.44-7.15 (10H, m, Aromatic H), 5.0 (1H, dt, J 4.5, 10.5, ArCH), 2.64 (1H, dt, J 4.0, 11.4, CHOCO), 2.15-2.02 (1H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.96-1.29 (7H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.92 (1H, d, J 11.9, SiCH_aH_b), 1.86 (1H, d, J 11.9, SiCH_aH_b), 0.15 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.08 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃) (ν_{max}) : 2920 (C-H), 2880, 1700 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1250, 1095, 1020 (Si-O), 840 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 295 (15), 275 (M⁺-Ph, 11), 233 (6), 177 (42), 158 (C₁₂H₁₄+, 100), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+, 66), 117 (12), 91 (C₇H₇+, 54). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₈O₂Si : C, 74.95; H, 8.01; Si, 7.97. Found : C, 75.28; H, 8.05; Si, 7.45.

9e. (49%). [α]_D²⁵ = +19.2 (C 1.4, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 7.46-7.28 (9H, m, Aromatic H), 7.15-7.10 (1H, m, Aromatic H), 4.70 (1H, dt, J 4.2, 10.7, CHOCO), 1.94 (1H, dt, J 3.6, 10.7, CHCHOCO), 1.72-0.63 (7H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.69 (1H, d, J 12.0, SiCH_aH_b), 1.42 (1H, d, J 12.0, SiCH_aH_b), 1.28 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.19 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.82 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃), 0.29 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.28 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃) (ν _{max}) : 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1690 (C=O), 1440, 1250 (Si-C), 1140, 1110, 1000, 910, 840 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 331 (M⁺-Ph, 4), 289 (M⁺-PhSiCH₂, 11), 215 (8), 214 (16), 137 (9), 136 (11), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+, 34), 120 (PhSi(CH₃)+, 12), 119 (PhSiCH₂+, 100), 118 (PhSiCH+, 70), 117 (24), 105 (PhSi+, 15), 91 (C₇H₇+, 29), 75 (10). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₃₆O₂Si : C, 76.42; H, 8.88; Si, 6.87. Found : C, 76.38; H, 8.77; Si, 6.80.

General procedure for the preparation of α -(phenyldimethylsilyl)acetic esters 9b,d. A solution of menthyl diazoacetate⁴ (1.6 g, 7.2 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (6 ml) was added slowly at room temperature, using a syringe pump (1.5 mmol/h), to a solution of dimethylchlorosilane (0.82 ml, 7.5 mmol) and Rh₂(OAc)₄ (8 mg, 0.017 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (3 ml). The mixture was then cooled to 0°C and a solution of triethylamine (1.26 ml, 9 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) was added, followed by a solution of isopropanol (0.69 ml, 9 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml). The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 2h then treated with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and the organic layer was decanted. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and the combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo to give a brown oil which was purified by Kugelrohr distillation (130°C, 0.04 mbar) to afford the ester 9b as a colourless oil (1.72 g, 76%). $[\alpha]_D^{2.5} = -44.3$ (C 1.06, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm): 4.65 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.9,

CHOCO), 4.06 (1H, sept, J 6.0, OCH(CH₃)₂), 2.02 (1H, d, J 12.0, SiCH_aH_b), 1.99 (1H, d, J 12.0, SiCH_aH_b), 2.01-1.87 (1H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.69-0.83 (8H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.17 (6H, d, J 6.0, OCH(CH₃)₂), 0.90 (3H, d, J 6.2, CH₃), 0.89 (3H, d, J 7.1, CH₃), 0.76 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃), 0.23 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2960, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1700 (C=O), 1450 (SiCH₃), 1370, 1260, 1095, 1015 (Si-O), 885, 835 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 295 (7), 282 (M⁺-CH₃OH, 10), 281 (20), 265 (15), 251 (20), 221 (10), 207 (19), 191 (42), 177 (10), 138 (18), 95 (39), 85 (45), 83 (100), 81 (43). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₃₄O₃Si: C, 64.92; H, 10.90; Si, 8.93. Found: C, 64.71; H, 10.72; Si, 9.06.

9d. (80%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -35.9$ (C 1.16, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm) : 7.29-7.14 (5H, m, Aromatic H), 5.0 (1H, dt, J 4.3, 10.4, ArCH), 3.89 (1H, sept, J 6.1, OCH(CH₃)₂), 2.66 (1H, dt, J 3.5, 11.5, CHOCO), 2.17-2.14 (1H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.94-1.29 (7H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.81 (1H, d, J 11.6, SiCH_aH_b), 1.75 (1H, d, J 11.6, SiCH_aH_b), 1.09 (6H, d, J 6.0, OCH(CH₃)₂), -0.04 (3H, s, SiCH₃), -0.08 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν_{max}) : 2920 (C-H), 2860, 1700 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1450, 1250, 1100, 1020 (Si-O), 890, 835 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 336 (M⁺+2, 32), 335 (M⁺+1, 41), 292 (M⁺-C₃H₆, 7), 275 (19), 207 (9), 158 (C₁₂H₁₄⁺, 52), 159 (17), 134 (17), 117 (39), 91 (C₇H₇⁺, 100), 83 (99), 75 (Si(CH₃)₂OH⁺, 91). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₀O₃Si: C, 68.22; H, 9.04; Si, 8.40. Found: C, 68.32; H, 9.00; Si, 8.43.

10. Same procedure than that described for 9a. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -37.1$ (C 1.12, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm) : 6.70 (1H, d, J 5.2, H-5), 6.22-6.14 (2H, m, H-3, H-4), 5.82-5.67 (2H, m, H-2, H-7), 3.79 (1H, dt, J 4.0, 10.7, CHOCO), 3.56 (1H, dd, J 7.7, T.7, H-1), 2.18-0.80 (8H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.31 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.18 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.88 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν_{max}) : 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1715 (C=O), 1465, 1295, 1255, 1185, 1000 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 273 (M⁺+1, 22), 272 (M⁺·, 46), 257 (M⁺·-CH₃, 20), 214 (14), 185 (34), 145 (45), 137 (C₁₀H₁₇⁺·, 35), 135 (40), 132 (67), 119 (45), 117 (99), 115 (21), 105 (19), 95 (45), 91 (C₇H₇⁺·, 100), 81 (51). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₄O₂ : C, 79.37; H, 8.88. Found : C, 76.74; H, 8.78.

11. Same procedure than that described for 9a, except that the crude product was crystallized from pentane. mp $161-164^{\circ}$ C (pentane). [α]_D²⁵ = -45.3 (C 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 7.49-7.29 (3H, m, Aromatic H), 7.12 (1H, d, J 11.6, H-a), 6.55 (1H, dd, J 5.5, 11.6, H-b), 6.28 (1H, ddd, J 1.8, 5.5, 9.6, H-c), 5.79 (1H, dd, J 5.1, 9.6, H-d), 3.77 (1H, dd, J 1.8, 5.1, H-e), 2.70 (1H, dt, J 3.8, 14.8, H-3 exo), 2.27 (1H, d, J 14.8, H-3 endo), 2.03 (1H, t, J 4.3, H-5 exo), 1.95-1.82 (1H, m, H-4), 1.49-1.18 (3H, m, H-5 endo, H-6 exo, H-6 endo), 1.38 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.97 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.89 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}) : 2950 (C-H), 1710 (C=O), 1455, 1320, 1255, 1000 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 338 (M⁺-NH₄, 9), 321 (M⁺+1, 39), 320 (M⁺-, 7), 263 (5), 211 (30), 210 (100), 182 (9), 139 (6), 109 (5), 95 (22). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄O₂ : C, 82.46; H, 7.55. Found : C, 82.35; H, 7.66.

12. Obtained after flash chromatography of 11 (37%). mp > 190°C (pentane). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +11.4$ (C 1.12, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.42-7.34 (3H, m, Aromatic H), 7.01 (1H, dd, J 6.0, 8.5, H-e), 6.71 (1H, ddd, J 1.0, 2.3, 10.0, H-a), 5.91 (1H, ddd, J 4.6, 8.2, 10.0, H-b), 3.01 (1H, dddd, J 1.0, 8.4, 8.4, 13.5, H-c), 2.65 (1H, ddd, J 3.1, 4.3, 14.8, H-3 exo), 2.35 (1H, d, J 14.8, H-3 endo), 2.14-2.04 (1H, m, H-d), 2.01 (1H, t, J 4.3, H-5 exo), 1.95-1.79 (1H, m, H-4), 1.45-1.18 (3H, m, H-5 endo, H-6 exo, H-6 endo), 1.36 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.93 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.80 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}): 2950 (C-H), 1700 (C=O), 1630 (C=C), 1455, 1310, 1295, 1060, 980, 910 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 321 (M⁺+1, 9), 273 (30), 251 (5), 222 (10), 212 (16), 211 (73), 210 (100), 181 (22), 169 (28), 153 (27), 109 (36), 98 (17), 95 (35), 85 (38), 83 (52), 81 (21), 78 (33), 75 (51), 71 (35). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄O₂: C, 82.46; H, 7.55. Found: C, 82.55; H, 7.52.

General procedure for the alkylation of α-silylacetic esters 9a-d. Following the procedure described for the synthesis of 5a-c, 13a was obtained from 9a as a colourless oil (0.146 g, 70%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.55-7.51 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.40-7.34 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 4.62 (2H, dt, J 4.4, 10.8, 2 CHOCO), 2.26 (1H, q, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 2.25 (1H, q, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.96-0.80 (18H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.17 (3H, d, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.15 (3H, d, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 0.89 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.87 (6H, d, J 6.8, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.82 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.73 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃), 0.68 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃), 0.39 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.38 (9H, s, 3 SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν_{max}): 2960, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1695 (C=O), 1450, 1425, 1370, 1310, 1250 (Si-C), 1190, 1145, 1110, 1080, 1035, 980, 960, 835, 820 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 269 (M⁺-Ph, 3), 193 (7), 138 (C₁₀H₁₈⁺, 11), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂⁺, 27), 131 (24), 130 (100), 95 (6), 83 (21). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₃₄O₂Si: C, 72.78; H, 9.89; Si, 8.10. Found: C, 72.92; H, 9.78; Si, 8.14.

13b. Same procedure as described above except that hexamethyldisilazane (2.2 eq.) was used instead of diisopropylamine (87%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 4.67 (2H, dt, J 4.4, 10.8, 2 CHOCO), 4.04 (2H, sept, J 6.0, 2 OCH(CH₃)₂), 2.10 (1H, q, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 2.0-0.81 (19H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.22 (3H, d, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.16 (6H, d, J 6.0, OCH(CH₃)₂), 1.15 (3H, d, J 6.0, CH₃CHCH₃), 1.14 (3H, d, J 6.0, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.89 (6H, d, J 7.0, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.88 (6H, d, J 7.1, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.75 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.74 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃), 0.19 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂), 0.19 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.18 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂), 0.17 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}): 2950 (C-H), 2860, 1695 (C=O), 1450, 1365, 1250, 1115, 1100, 1030 (Si-O), 880 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 329 (M⁺+1, 24), 315 (6), 190 (40), 173 (19), 130 (100), 117 (18), 92 (38), 83 (58), 75 (Si(CH₃)₂OH⁺, 94). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₃₆O₃Si: C, 65.80; H, 11.04; Si, 8.55. Found: C, 65.14; H, 10.91; Si, 8.84.

13c. (87%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) (2 diastereoisomers) : 7.45-7.08 (20H, m, Aromatic H), 5.05 (1H, dt, J 4.2, 10.5, PhCH), 4.96 (1H, dt, J 4.5, 10.6, PhCH), 2.72-2.54 (2H, m, 2 CHOCO), 2.18-1.18 (18H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.89 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 0.85 (3H, d, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 0.22 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.18 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.08 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.03 (3H, s, SiCH₃). **IR** (CHCl₃)(ν_{max}) : 2940 (C-H), 2860, 1700 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1450, 1250, 1180, 1110, 820 cm⁻¹. **MS** (CI, NH₃) : 351 (M⁺-CH₃, 2), 295 (6), 289 (M⁺-Ph, 8), 233 (5), 191 (COCH(Me)Si(CH₃)₂Ph⁺ or PhC₆H₁₀O⁺·, 16), 159 (18), 158 (19), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+·, 27), 130 (33), 91 (C₇H₇+·, 100). **Anal.** Calcd for C₂₃H₃₀O₂Si : C, 75.36; H, 8.25; Si, 7.66. Found : C, 75.42; H, 8.34; Si, 7.24.

13d. (79%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.29-7.13 (10H, m, Aromatic H), 5.04 (1H, dt, J 4.3, 10.4, PhCH), 5.0 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.3, PhCH), 3.92 (1H, sept, J 6.0, OCH(CH₃)₂), 3.87 (1H, sept, J 6.0, OCH(CH₃)₂), 2.72-2.60 (2H, m, 2 x CHOCO), 2.18-1.30 (18H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.13-1.06 (12H, m, 2 x OCH(CH₃)₂), 0.97 (6H, d, J 7.1, 2 x CH₃), 0.02 (3H, s, SiCH₃), -0.01 (3H, s, SiCH₃), -0.14 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}): 2980, 2965 (C-H), 2860, 1700 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1450, 1320, 1250, 1120, 1030 (Si-O), 880, 830 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 349 (M⁺+1, 17), 289 (31), 251 (5), 190 (12), 173 (15), 159 (37), 158 (33), 130 (35), 91 (C₇H₇+, 100), 75 (Si(CH₃)₂OH⁺·, 56). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₇O₃Si: C, 68.92; H, 9.25; Si, 8.06. Found: C, 69.00; H, 9.26; Si, 8.22.

(4S)-N-(chloroacetyl)-4-isopropyloxazolidone 15. Same procedure than that described for the preparation of 9b-d. (90% yield). mp 58-60°C (Ether/pentane). [ca]_D²⁵ = +5.0 (C 1.33, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.73 (2H, s, CH₂Cl), 4.16 (1H, dd, J 11.1, 11.1, OCH_aH_bCH), 3.92 (1H, ddd, J 3.8, 9.7, 11.1, OCH_aH_bCH), 3.82 (1H, dd, J 3.8, 11.1, OCH_aH_bCH), 2.40-2.28 (1H, m, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.05 (3H, d, J 6.7, CH₃), 0.94 (3H, d, J 6.7, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}) : 2960 (C-H), 1820, 1810, 1740 (C=O), 1440, 1410, 1380, 1260, 1160, 1120, 1060, 910 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 225 (24), 223 (M⁺+NH₃, 100), 189 (11), 156 (21), 127 (19), 102 (38), 83 (33), 72 (62). Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₂O₃NCl : C, 46.73; H, 5.88; Cl, 17.24. Found : C, 46.86; H, 5.77; Cl, 17.19.

(1R, 2S, 5R)-5-Methyl-2-[(1,1,3,3-tetramethyl)butyl]cyclohexanol 16a. To a suspension of sodium (0.24 g, 10.4 mmol) in dry refluxing toluene (5 ml) was added dropwise a solution of ketones 20 (0.8 g, 3.56 mmol) in isopropanol (0.8 ml). The reaction mixture was then refluxed for an additionnal 20h and cooled to 0°C. The mixture was diluted with ether (20 ml) and carefully poured into ice-water. The organic layer was decanted and the aqueous layer was saturated with sodium chloride and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (Petroleum ether/EtOAc 98:2) afforded recovered starting material (0.23 g) and the alcohol 16a (0.3 g, corrected yield: 52%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -24.2$ (C 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm) : 3.62-3.52 (1H, m, CHOCO), 2.05-0.74 (8H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.46 (1H, d, J 14.4, CH₂H_bt-Bu), 1.38 (1H, d, J 14.4, CH₂H_bt-Bu), 1.13 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.09 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.0 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.90 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(α _{max}) : 3600 (O-H), 2950, 2920 (C-H), 1450, 1360, 1090, 1015, 1000, 910 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 244 (M⁺··+NH₄, 14), 226 (M⁺·, 2), 137 (8), 113 (18), 112 (51), 97 (54), 96 (28), 95 (35), 83 (23), 81 (100), 71 (t-BuCH₂+·, 10). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₃₀O : C, 79.58; H, 13.36. Found : C, 79.69; H, 13.27.

General procedure for the preparation of acetoacetate 17a-g. 4 To a solution of (-)-menthol (15 g, 96 mmol) and sodium acetate (0.5 g, 6.1 mmol) in acetonitrile (80 ml) was added dropwise at room temperature a solution of diketene (14.8 ml, 192 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 ml). The mixture was refluxed for 2h then treated at 0°C with water (20 ml) and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (Petroleum ether/EtOAc 95:5) gave 17a as a colourless oil (22.1 g, 96%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.74 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.8, CHOCO), 3.44 (2H, s, COCH₂CO), 2.27 (3H, s, COCH₃), 2.10-2.0 (1H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.87 (1H, dsept, J 2.7, 7.0, CH₃CHCH₃), 1.72-1.30 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.12-0.80 (3H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.91 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.89 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.77 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}) : 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1730 (C=O), 1710 (C=O), 1640, 1450, 1410, 1360, 1310, 1240, 1095, 1080, 1025, 1010, 980, 960, 910 cm⁻¹. 17c (86%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -35.0$ (C 1.13, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm) : 4.87 (1H, dt, J 4.3, 10.7, CHOCO), 3.41 (2H, s, COCH₂CO), 2.28 (3H, s, COCH₃), 2.05-1.85 (3H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.70-0-80 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.39 (1H, d, J 14.5, $CH_{a}H_{b}t$ -Bu), 1.21 (1H, d, J 14.5, $CH_{\underline{a}}H_{b}t$ -Bu), 0.98 (15H, s, 5 x CH_{3}), 0.89 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH_{3}). IR ($CHCl_{3}$)(v_{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1725 (C=O), 1710 (C=O), 1640, 1450, 1360, 1315, 1240, 1150, 910 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 239 (M⁺-CH₂t-Bu, 14), 209 (M⁺-OCOCH₂COCH₃, 7), 208 (10), 199 (10), 137 (12), 112 (21), 103 (73), 97 (36), 95 (34), 85 (46), 81 (100), 71 (t-BuCH₂+, 12). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₄O₃: C, 73.50; H, 11.04. Found: C, 73.58; H, 10.96. 17d (88%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +88.9$ (C 1.73, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm) : 4.62 (1H, d, J 7.0, H-2), 3.49 (1H, d, J 15.2, COCH_aH_bCO), 3.48 (1H, d, J 6.7, H-3), 3.40 (1H, d, J 15.2, COCH_aH_bCO), 3.13 (1H, d, J 8.2, OCH_aH_bt-Bu), 2.95 (1H, d, J 8.2, OCH, Hh. t-Bu), 2.30 (3H, s, COCH₃), 1.85 (1H, d, J 4.7, Aliphatic H), 1.75-1.47 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.08 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.06-0.90 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.88 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.85 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.81 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃) (v_{max}): 2950 (C-H), 2870, 1730 (C=O), 1710 (C=O), 1645, 1360, 1320, 1240, 1140 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 325 (M⁺+1, 26), 237 (M⁺-OCH₂t-Bu, 6), 223 (68), 222 (100), 194 (28), 153 (18), 152 (21), 135 (29), 123 (24), 109 (64), 95 (52), 85

(COCH₂COCH₃+, 58). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₂O₄: C, 70.33; H, 9.94. Found: C, 70.24; H, 10.00.

17e (92%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -25.7$ (C 1.23, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.74 (1H, d, J 6.8, H-3), 3.48 (1H, d, J 15.5, COCH_aH_bCO), 3.38 (1H, d, J 15.5, COCH_aH_bCO), 3.28 (1H, d, J 6.8, H-2), 3.10 (1H, d, J 7.9, OCH_aH_bt-Bu), 3.03 (1H, d, J 7.9, OCH_aH_bt-Bu), 2.28 (3H, s, COCH₃), 1.78 (1H, d, J 4.8, Aliphatic H), 1.75-1.42 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.12-0.95 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.07 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.91 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.87 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.79 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}) : 2950 (C-H), 2870, 1730 (C=O), 1710 (C=O), 1645, 1470, 1410, 1150, 1110 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 325 (M⁺+1, 4), 237 (M⁺-OCH₂t-Bu, 14), 222 (77), 207 (12), 194 (20), 153 (28), 121 (46), 109 (49), 108 (53), 95 (70), 85 (COCH₂COCH₃+, 91), 81 (41), 71 (CH₂t-Bu⁺, 100). Anal. Calcd for Cl₁9H₃₂O₄: C, 70.33; H, 9.94. Found: C, 70.31; H, 9.92.

17f (98%). [α]_D²⁵ = -19.8 (C 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 5.88 (1H, d, J 3.7, Aliphatic H), 5.31 (1H, broad s, Aliphatic H), 4.58 (1H, d, J 3.7, Aliphatic H), 4.24-3.98 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 3.55 (1H, d, J 15.8, COCH_aH_bCO), 3.48 (1H, d, J 15.8, COCH_aH_bCO), 2.28 (3H, s, COCH₃), 1.52 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.41 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.32 (6H, s, 2 x CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}) : 2990, 2940 (C-H), 2900, 1750 (C=O), 1720 (C=O), 1625, 1450, 1405, 1365, 1255, 1150, 1075, 1020, 945, 885, 840 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 362 (M⁺+NH₄, 42), 346 (M⁺+2, 27), 345 (M⁺+1, 100), 329 (M⁺-CH₃, 47), 287 (M⁺-CH₂COCH₃, 32), 271 (3), 229 (4), 185 (5), 127 (7), 113 (17), 101 (58), 85 (20), 81 (9), 72 (8). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₄O₈ : C, 55.81; H, 7.02. Found : C, 55.79; H, 6.93.

17g (96%). mp 52-54°C (Ether/petroleum ether). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -6.9$ (C 2.5, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 5.42 (1H, s, COCHOCO), 4.06 (1H, d, J 9.1, CH_aH_bO), 4.02 (1H, d, J 9.1, CH_aH_bO), 3.67 (1H, d, J 16.0, COCH_aH_bCO), 3.58 (1H, d, J 16.0, COCH_aH_bCO), 2.30 (3H, s, COCH₃), 1.24 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.11 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν_{max}) : 3020, 2970 (C-H), 2930, 2900, 1800 (C=O), 1750 (C=O), 1720 (C=O), 1660, 1630, 1465, 1400, 1310, 1150, 1085, 1030, 1000 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 232 (M⁺+NH₄, 100), 215 (M⁺+I, 18), 172 (M⁺-COCH₂, 5), 86 (9), 85 (22). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O₅ : C, 56.07; H, 6.59. Found : C, 56.09; H, 6.54.

General procedure for the preparation of \alpha-diazoesters 18a-j. To a suspension of NaH (60% dispersion in oil), previously washed with anhydrous hexane (0.25 g, 10.5 mmol) in dry THF (40 ml) was added dropwise at 0°C, a solution of 17a (2.78 g, 11.6 mmol) in dry THF (10 ml). The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 30 minutes then a solution of Mel (0.65 ml, 10.5 mmol) in dry THF (3 ml) was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 12h then treated at 0°C with a saturated solution of NaHCO3 and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated in vacuo to afford the crude acetoacetate as an oil which was dissolved in a mixture of acetonitrile (15 ml) and triethylamine (2.3 ml, 16.6 mmol). Methanesulfonyl azide (2 g, 16.6 mmol) was then slowly added at room temperature and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 days. The mixture was treated with water (10 ml) and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were washed with a 5% solution of KOH in water (3 x 20 ml), brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvent were evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (Petroleum ether/EtOAc 99:1) gave 18a as a yellow oil (1.28 g, 64 %). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -88.1$ (C 1.93, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm) : 4.73 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.8, CHOCO), 2.06-0.83 (10H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.95 (3H, s, N₂CCH₃), 0.89 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.88 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.77 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2960, 2930 (C-H), 2870, 2080 (C=N=N), 1675 (C=O), 1455, 1350, 1305, 1155, 1140, 990, 950 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 238 (M⁺, 12), 169 (4), 139 (9), 138 (11), 95 (26), 83 (COC(N₂)CH₃+, 100), 81 (37), 77 (12), 71 (12). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₂O₂N₂: C, 65.52; H, 9.30; N, 11.75. Found: C, 65.49; H, 9.28; N, 11.86.

18b (62%). [α]_D²⁵ = -49.9 (C 1.35, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.74 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.9, CHOCO), 2.16 (2H, d, J 6.9, N₂CCH₂), 2.07-0.80 (10H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.96 (6H, d, J 6.6, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.91 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.78 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}) : 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 2080 (C=N=N), 1675 (C=O), 1455, 1370, 1270, 1140, 980, 960 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 297 (M⁺+NH₃, 7), 280 (M⁺, 3), 272 (15), 255 (16), 253 (12), 170 (18), 169 (21), 155 (9), 139 (27), 138 (60), 132 (31), 115 (16), 112 (16), 97 (42), 84 (14), 83 (100), 81 (62). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₈O₂N₂ : C, 68.53; H, 10.06; N, 9.99. Found : C, 68.40; H, 10.02; N, 10.08.

18c (56%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -64.8$ (C 1.73, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 7.37-7.22 (5H, m, Aromatic H), 4.78 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.8, CHOCO), 3.64 (2H, s, CH₂Ph), 2.09-2.01 (1H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.90-1.31 (6H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.14-0.94 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.91 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.88 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.78 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(υ_{max}) : 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2080 (C=N=N), 1675 (C=O), 1450, 1355, 1295, 1170, 1110, 980, 960 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃) : 332 (M⁺+NH₄, 2), 306 (43), 182 (6), 171 (14), 170 (100), 169 (28), 124 (8), 95 (6), 91 (C₇H₇+, 7), 77 (C₆H₅+, 5). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₆O₂N₂: C, 72.58; H, 8.33; N, 8.91. Found : C, 72.52; H, 8.25; N, 8.98.

18d (76%). [α]_D²⁵ = -58.7 (C 1.44, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.75 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.9, CHOCO), 3.22 (2H, s, CH₂CO₂t-Bu), 2.05-0.80 (9H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.47 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.91 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.89 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.78 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃). **IR** (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}) : 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 2090 (C=N=N), 1725 (C=O), 1675 (C=O), 1450, 1370, 1150, 1110 cm⁻¹. **MS** (CI, NH₃) : 237 (M⁺-CO₂t-Bu, 2), 209 (δ), 169 (δ), 155 (δ), 139 (28), 138 (46), 137 (13), 123 (26), 96 (19), 95 (δ 2), 83 (55), 81 (100), 79 (26), 74 (47), 71 (26). **Anal.** Calcd for C₁₈H₃₀O₄N₂ : C, 63.88; H, 8.93; N, 8.28. Found : C, 63.97; H, 8.78; N, 8.19.

18e (60%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -125.7$ (C 1.4, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.32-7.25 (2H, m, Aromatic H), 7.22-7.16 (3H, m, Aromatic H), 4.96 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.6, CHOCO), 2.66 (1H, dt, J 3.6, 10.9, CHPh), 2.23-2.19 (1H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.76 (3H, s, CH₃CN₂), 1.97-1.18 (7H, m, Aliphatic H). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2930 (C-H), 2850, 2080 (C=N=N), 1660 (C=O), 1450, 1330, 1300, 1245, 1220, 1010 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): 158 (24), 129 (9), 117 (10), 115 (10), 91 ($C_7H_7^{++}$, 100), 81 (14), 67 (10), 55 (17). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₂N₂: C, 69.74; H, 7.02; N, 10.84. Found: C, 69.89; H, 7.07; N, 10.74. **18f** (52%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -33.0$ (C 0.8, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.90 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 10.6, CHOCO), 1.97 (3H, s, CH₃CN₂), 2.01-0.80 (8H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.43 (1H, d, J 14.6, CH₂H₃t-Bu), 1.20 (1H, d, J 14.6, CH₂H₃t-Bu), 1.0 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.98 (12H, s, 4 x CH₃), 0.87 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 2080 (C=N=N), 1675 (C=O), 1455, 1410, 1360, 1140 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 301 (14), 300 (37), 298 (15), 226 (26), 225 (4), 209 (29), 170 (19), 137 (27), 112 (27), 97 (32), 90 (100), 83 (23), 81 (32). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₂O₂N₂: C, 70.09; H, 10.46; N, 9.08. Found: C, 70.18; H, 10.54; N, 8.95. 18g (57%). [α]_D²⁵ = +43.0 (C 1.32, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.70 (1H, d, J 6.8, H-2), 3.45 (1H, d, J 6.8, H-3), 3.12 (1H, d, J 8.1, CH_aH_bt-Bu), 2.94 (1H, d, J 8.1, CH_aH_bt-Bu), 1.94 (3H, s, CH₂CN₂), 1.83 (1H, d, J 4.7, Aliphatic H), 1.75-1.45 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.08 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.16-0.87 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.86 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.85 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.81 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2950 (C-H), 2870, 2080 (C=N=N), 1675 (C=O), 1475, 1460, 1380, 1305, 1145, 1100, 1075, 905 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): 222 (10), 135 (11), 124 (9), 108 (14), 100 (4), 94 (17), 84 (26), 72 (CH₃t-Bu⁺·, 100), 56 (44). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₃₀O₃N₂: C, 67.05; H, 9.38; N, 8.69. Found: C, 67.15; H, 9.33; N, 8.71. 18h (58%). $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = +19.8$ (C 0.9, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 4.83 (1H, d, J 6.7, H-3), 3.27 (1H, d, J 6.7, H-2), 3.11 (1H, d, J 7.9, CH_aH_ht-Bu), 3.02 (1H, d, J 7.9, CH_aH_ht-Bu), 1.93 (3H, s, CH₁CN₂), 1.74-1.42 (3H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.07 (3H, s, CH₂), 1.05-0.92 (2H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.91 (3H, s, CH₂), 0.87 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.79 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2950 (C-H), 2870, 2080 (C=N=N), 1675 (C=O), 1475, 1385, 1330, 1305, 1150, 1100, 910 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): 322 (M⁺, 2), 222 (11), 194 (8), 153 (13), 135 (19), 109 (17), 96 (28), 93 (24), 84 (51), 82 (25), 72 (CH₃t-Bu⁺, 100), 56 (86). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₀O₃N₂: C, 67.05; H, 9.38; N, 8.69. Found: C, 65.96; H, 9.18; N, 8.54. 18i (72%). [α]_D²⁵ = -53.2 (C 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm) : 5.88 (1H, d, J 3.7, Aliphatic H), 5.28 (1H, d, J 3.8, Aliphatic H), 4.58 (1H, d, J 3.7, Aliphatic H), 4.27-3.98 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.98 (3H, s, CH₃CN₂), 1.53 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.42 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.33 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.31 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2990, 2930 (C-H), 2090 (C=N=N), 1690 (C=O), 1450, 1370, 1290, 1250, 1130, 1075, 1020 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₂): 343 (M⁺+1, 36), 327 (M⁺-CH₃, 22), 315 (36), 299 (26), 285 (48), 257 (33), 185 (6), 174 (5), 155 (5), 138 (6), 113 (17), 109 (18), 101 (100), 95 (15), 85 (16), 83 (20), 81 (36), 72 (16). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₇N₂: C, 52.63; H, 6.48; N, 8.18. Found: C, 52.60; H, 6.55; N, 8.10. 18j (64%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +12.9$ (C 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (8 ppm) : 5.43 (1H, s, COCHOCO), 4.07 (1H, d, J 9.1, CH_aH_bO), 4.03 (1H, d, J 9.1, CH₂H₂O), 2.02 (3H, s, CH₂CN₂), 1.23 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.11 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(υ_{max}): 2960 (C-H), 2900, 2100 (C=N=N), 1790 (C=O), 1690 (C=O), 1465, 1370, 1365, 1130, 1010 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 230 (M⁺-N₄, 46), 213 (M⁺+1, 17), 204 (27), 185 (35), 184 (M⁺-N₂, 13), 170 (27), 132 (22), 99 (9), 86 (13), 83 (15), 74 (12). Anal. Calcd for C₀H₁₂O₄N₂: C, 50.94; H, 5.70; N, 13.20. Found: C, 50.80; H, 5.72; N, 13.04. (2R*, 5R*)-5-Methyl-2-[(1,1,3,3-tetramethyl)butyl]cyclohexanone 20. To a suspension of magnesium (0.9 g, 36.8 mmol) in dry ether (10 ml) under nitrogen was added a small portion of a solution of t-BuCH₂Br (4.7 ml, 36.8 mmol) in dry ether (15 ml). The reaction mixture was then heated under reflux and the remaining of the solution of t-BuCH₂Br was added at such a rate to maintain a gentle reflux. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 1h. The solution was cooled down to room temperature and slowly added to a suspension of copper iodide (0.7 g, 3.7 mmol) in dry ether (20 ml) at -30°C. The reaction mixture was then stirred at -20°C for 30 minutes and a solution of R-(+)-pulegone 19 (5 ml, 30.7 mmol) in dry ether (10 ml) was slowly added at -30°C over a period of 10 minutes. The resulting mixture was stirred at -20°C for 6h then allowed to warm to room temperature overnight and finally refluxed for 4h. The solution was cooled down to room temperature and was poured into a cooled 1M solution of HCl. The organic layer was decanted, then filtered. The aqueous layer was saturated with ammonium chloride and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO3, dried (MgSO4) and the solvents evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 99.5:0.5) afforded pulegone (3.5 g) and a 1/1 mixture (¹H NMR) of diastereoisomers 20 (1.12 g, corrected yield: 57%). A mixture of the preceding ketones 20 (1.12 g, 5 mmol) in ethanol (11 ml), water (1.5 ml) and KOH (1 g, 23 mmol) was then refluxed for 4h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo, saturated with sodium chloride and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography of the residue (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 99:1) afforded a 78:22 mixture of diastereoisomers 20 (0.84 g, 75%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 2.33-0.90 (32H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.07 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.06 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.98 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.97 (9H, s, t-Bu). IR (CHCl₃)(\(\time{\text{t}}\)_{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1700 (C=O), 1450, 1260, 1120, 1000 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 231 (41), 230 (100), 224 $(M^{+}, 1)$, 216 (11), 215 (85), 186 (5), 170 (5), 112 (15), 94

(21), 91 (9), 78 (22), 71 (t-BuCH₂+, 6). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₈O: C, 80.29; H, 12.58. Found: C, 81.28; H, 11.37.

Pantolactone phenylacetic ester 21. To a solution of phenylacetic acid (3.45 g, 25.3 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml) was added dropwise freshly distilled SOCl₂ (5.5 ml, 75.9 mmol). The solution was refluxed for 20h then concentrated under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) then a solution of D-pantolactone (3 g, 25.3 mmol), pyridine (2.05 ml, 27.8 mmol) and dimethylaminopyridine (0.14 g, 1.26 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) was added slowly at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15h then washed successively with a $1\underline{M}$ solution of HCl, a saturated solution of NaHCO₃, brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography of the residue (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 99.5:0.5) gave 21 as a colourless oil (3.77 g, 66%). [α]_D²⁵ = +11.9 (C 1.0, CHCl₃). 1 H NMR (δ ppm): 7.41-7.25 (5H, m, Aromatic H), 5.37 (1H, s, COCHOCO), 4.06 (1H, d, J 19.2, CH₂H₆O), 3.97 (1H, d, J 19.2, CH₃H₆O), 3.79 (2H, s, CH₂Ph), 1.12 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.99 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(α _{max}): 3020, 2960 (C-H), 2900, 1790 (C=O), 1740 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1495, 1460, 1400, 1370, 1295, 1240, 1140, 1085, 1030, 1000 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 249 (M⁺+1, 2), 119 (PhCH₂CO⁺, 9), 118 (PhCHCO⁺, 78), 91 (C₇H₇⁺, 100), 89 (5). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆O₄: C, 67.73; H, 6.50. Found: C, 67.57; H, 6.38.

Pantolactone α-diazophenylacetic ester 22. To a solution of 21 (0.78 g, 3.14 mmol) and ABSA (0.98 g, 4.08 mmol) in acetonitrile (30 ml), DBU (0.47 ml, 3.14 mmol) was added dropwise at 0°C. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 15h then treated with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether and the combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents evaporated *in vacuo*. Flash chromatography of the residue (Petroleum ether/EtOAc 6:4) gave 22 as a brown oil (0.53 g, 62%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +7.0$; $[\alpha]_{546}^{25} = +13.9$ (C 0.95, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.51-7.19 (5H, m, Aromatic H), 5.55 (1H, s, COCHOCO), 4.09 (2H, s, CH₂O), 1.28 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.15 (3H, s, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(α_{max}): 2960 (C-H), 2910, 2100 (C=N=N), 1790 (C=O), 1745, 1705 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1495, 1460, 1370, 1360, 1240, 1210, 1140, 1010 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 274 (M⁺·, 2), 247 (11), 118 (PhCHCO⁺·, 45), 114 (27), 106 (12), 105 (100), 99 (42), 91 (C₇H₇⁺·, 48), 89 (25), 77 (C₆H₅⁺·, 35). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₄O₄N₂: C, 61.31; H, 5.14; N, 10.21. Found: C, 61.42; H, 5,18; N, 10.03.

General procedure for asymmetric insertion of chiral rhodium-carbenoid into PhMe₂SiH (and Et₃SiH, Ph₃SiH). Following the procedure described above for the synthesis of 9a, compound 13a was obtained from 18a as a colourless oil (208 mg, 70%)(see table 1). This material was identical (IR, ¹H NMR) with the one prepared by alkylation.

General procedure for asymmetric insertion of chiral rhodium-carbenoid into CISiMe₂H. Following the procedure described above for the synthesis of 9b, compound 13b was obtained from 18a as a colourless oil (0.52 g, 74%)(see table 1). This material was identical (IR, ¹H NMR) with the one prepared by alkylation.

23a. ¹H NMR (8 ppm) (2 diastereoisomers): 4.66 (2H, dt, J 4.3, 10.8, 2 x CHOCO), 2.14 (2H, q, J 7.1, 2 x SiCHCH₃), 2.07-1.81 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.71-1.61 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.56-0.79 (10H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.21 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.19 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 0.98 (18H, t, J 7.9, 2 x Si(CH₂CH₃)₃), 0.92-0.84 (12H, m, 2 x CH₃CHCH₃), 0.76 (3H, d, J 6.9, CH₃), 0.75 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.63 (12H, q, J 7.7, 2 x Si(CH₂CH₃)₃). IR (CHCl₃)(υ_{max}): 2950 (C-H), 2870, 1690 (C=O), 1450, 1370, 1310, 1180, 1140, 1005, 905 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): 297 (M⁺·-C₂H₅, 5), 241 (8), 159 (90), 131 (13), 115 (Si(C₂H₅)₃⁺, 18), 103 (100), 84 (43), 76 (44), 60 (43), 58 (C₂H₅SiH⁺, 34), 56 (63). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₈O₂Si: C, 69.88; H, 11.73; Si, 8.60. Found: C, 69.95; H, 11.62; Si, 8.51.

23b. ¹H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.68-7.57 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 7.49-7.33 (9H, m, Aromatic H), 4.54 (1H, dt, J 4.1, 10.8, CHOCO), 4.48 (1H, dt, J 4.1, 10.8, CHOCO), 2.96 (2H, q, J 7.3, 2 x SiCHCH₃), 1.75-0.80 (18H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.38 (3H, d, J 7.3, SiCHCH₃), 1.36 (3H, d, J 7.3, SiCHCH₃), 0.83 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.80 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH₃), 0.69 (3H, d, J 6.3, CH₃), 0.67 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.62 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.50 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(ν_{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1700 (C=O), 1450, 1425, 1370, 1305, 1250, 905 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): 393 (M⁺-Ph, 6), 259 (Si(Ph)₃ + ·, 67), 254 (82), 199 (42), 181 (36), 138 (17), 105 (PhSi + ·, 27), 95 (31), 84 (67), 82 (42), 70 (50), 58 (32), 56 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₃₈O₂Si: C, 79.10; H, 8.14; Si, 5.97. Found: C, 79.01; H, 8.22; Si, 6.00.

23c. ¹H NMR (δ ppm) (2 diastereoisomers): 7.57-7.53 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.40-7.33 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 4.68 (2H, dt, J 4.4, 10.9, 2 x CHOCO), 2.30 (2H, m, 2 x SiCH), 1.71-0.88 (24H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.92-0.89 (24H, m, 4 x CH₃CHCH₃), 0.78 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.76 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.34 (12H, s, 2 x Si(CH₃)₂). This sensitive product was used in the next step without further purification.

23d. ¹H NMR (δ ppm) (2 diastereoisomers): 7.61-7.57 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.42-7.37 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 7.23-7.08 (10H, m, Aromatic H), 4.60-4.45 (2H, m, 2 CHOCO), 3.10-2.95 (2H, m, CH₂Ph), 2.80-2.60 (2H, m, CH₂Ph), 2.56 (2H, dd, J 2.6, 12.4, SiCHCH₂), 1.80-0.70 (18H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.82 (12H, d, J 6.5, 2 x CH₃CHCH₃), 0.70 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.66 (3H, d, J 7.0, CH₃), 0.53-0.48 (12H, m, 2 x Si(CH₃)₂). IR (CHCl₃)(ν _{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1690 (C=O), 1635, 1600 (C=C), 1490, 1450, 1370, 1310, 1250 (Si-C), 1160, 1150, 1115, 1010, 980, 910, 850, 815 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 207 (43), 206 (100), 205 (35), 138 (12), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+, 26), 131 (4), 95 (9), 91 (C₇H₇+, 14), 83 (26), 77 (C₆H₅+, 7). Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₈O₂Si: C, 76.72; H, 9.06; Si, 6.64. Found: C, 76.81; H, 9.11; Si, 6.67.

23e. ¹H NMR (6 ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.53-7.49 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.39-7.34 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 4.67 (2H, dt, J 4.3, 10.8, 2 CHOCO), 2.76-2.64 (4H, m, 2 x SiCHCH₂), 2.12 (2H, dd, J 4.8, 9.1, 2 x SiCHCH₂), 2.0-0.80 (18H, m,

72.39; H, 9.94; Si, 6.62.

Aliphatic H), 1.39 (18H, s, 2 x t-Bu), 0.88 (6H, d, J 6.5, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.86 (6H, d, J 6.6, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.72 (6H, d, J $6.9, 2 \times \text{CH}_3$), 0.41 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂), 0.38 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂). IR (CHCl₃) (v_{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1720 (C=O), 1700 (C=O), 1450, 1365, 1310, 1250 (Si-C), 1210, 1145, 1110, 910 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 447 (M⁺+1, 2), 375 (9), 314 (8), 313 (23), 237 (67), 176 (15), 175 (100), 174 (62), 138 (19), 137 (41), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+, 64), 129 (32), 83 (74), 81 (40), 75 (49). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₄₂O₄Si: C, 69.91; H, 9.48; Si, 6.29. Found: C, 69.85; H, 9.54; Si, 6.15. 23f. H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.57-7.51 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.40-7.31 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 4.81 (1H, dt, J 4.2, 10.7, CHOCO), 4.75 (1H, dt, J 4.2, 10.7, CHOCO), 2.22 (1H, q, J 7.3, SiCHCH₃), 2.21 (1H, q, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.88-0.80 (20H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.20 (3H, d, J 7.3, SiCHCH₃), 1.15 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 0.98 (12H, s, 4 x CH₃), 0.97 (12H, s, 4 x CH₃), 0.96 (6H, s, 2 x CH₃), 0.84 (3H, d, J 6.5, CH₃), 0.83 (3H, d, J 6.4, CH₃), 0.42 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.41 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.40 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.38 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1695 (C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1455, 1365, 1310, 1250 (Si-C), 1185, 1110, 910, 835, 815 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 434 (M+NH₄+, 41), 417 (M++1, 14), 345 (M+-CH₂t-Bu, 7), 304 (16), 300 (39), 226 (42), 209 (20), 193 (17), 152 (36), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+, 40), 131 (68), 130 (84), 110 (18), 105 (PhSi+, 10), 95 (15), 92 (100), 91 (C₇H₇+, 13), 81 (23). 23g. ¹H NMR (8 ppm) (2 diastereoisomers): 7.57-7.51 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.39-7.31 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 4.54 (1H, d, J 6.9, H-2), 4.47 (1H, d, J 6.9, H-2), 3.43 (1H, d, J 6.9, H-3), 3.42 (1H, d, J 6.9, H-3), 3.10 (1H, d, J 8.3, OCH, H_bt-Bu), 3.08 (1H, d, J 8.3, OCH_aH_bt-Bu), 2.96 (2H, d, J 8.1, 2 x OCH_aH_bt-Bu), 2.32 (1H, q, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 2.25 (1H, q, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.82 (2H, d, J 4.7, Aliphatic H), 1.73-1.40 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.18 (3H, d, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.13 (3H, d, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.08 (6H, s, 2 x CH₃), 1.04-0.87 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.85 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.84 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.79 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.78 (3H, s, CH₄), 0.75 (3H, s, CH₄), 0.73 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.40 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.39 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.37 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.33 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2950 (C-H), 2870, 1700 (C=O), 1475, 1460, 1360, 1250 (Si-C), 1180, 1140, 1100, 1050, 910, 835, 820 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): 222 (19), 191 (31), 169 (7), 152 (8), 135 (Ph Si(CH₃)₂+·, 100), 109 (14), 94 (11), 72 (81), 58 (22), 56 (26). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₄₂O₃Si: C, 72.51; H, 9.83; Si, 6.52. Found: C,

23h. HNMR (8 ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.54-7.49 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.39-7.32 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 4.65 (1H, d, J 6.8, H-3), 4.56 (1H, d, J 6.8, H-3), 3.24 (1H, d, J 6.0, H-2), 3.19 (1H, d, J 5.7, H-2), 3.09 (2H, d, J 8.3, $2 \times CH_aH_bt$ -Bu), 2.98 (2H, d, J 8.1, $2 \times CH_aH_bt$ -Bu), 2.29 (1H, q, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 2.21 (1H, q, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.74-1.39 (6H, m, Aliphatic H), 1.18 (3H, d, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.13 (3H, d, J 7.2, SiCHCH₃), 1.05 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.04 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.02-0.92 (4H, m, Aliphatic H), 0.90 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.89 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.87 (18H, s, $2 \times t$ -Bu), 0.76 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.75 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.41 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.40 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.39 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.38 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2950 (C-H), 2870, 1695 (C=O), 1470, 1455, 1310, 1250 (Si-C), 1180, 1140, 905 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): 430 (M⁺·,3), 222 (19), 191 (30), 153 (11), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+, 100), 121 (17), 109 (21), 95 (12), 82 (16), 72 (66), 56 (46). Anal. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{42}O_3Si$: C, 72.51; H, 9.83; Si, 6.52. Found: C, 72.47; H, 9.86; Si, 6.34.

23i. ¹H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.55-7.50 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.42-7.35 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 5.64 (1H, d, J 3.7, Aliphatic H), 5.43 (1H, d, J 3.7, Aliphatic H), 5.11 (1H, d, J 2.7, Aliphatic H), 5.09 (1H, d, J 2.9, Aliphatic H), 4.14-3.93 (9H, m, Aliphatic H), 3.75 (1H, d, J 3.7, Aliphatic H), 2.33 (1H, q, J 7.0, SiCHCH₃), 2.31 (1H, q, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.49 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.46 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.40 (6H, s, 2 x CH₃), 1.31 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.30 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.27 (6H, s, 2 x CH₃), 1.23 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.21 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 0.42 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.41 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.40 (6H, s, Si(CH₃)₂). IR (CHCl₃)(υ_{max}): 3000, 2950 (C-H), 1720 (C=O), 1460, 1380, 1320, 1250 (Si-C), 1165, 1080, 1020, 820 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 435 (M⁺·-CH₃, 67), 393 (16), 349 (3), 219 (6), 191 (21), 156 (9), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂+, 77), 101 (100), 91 (C₇H₇+, 6), 75 (20). Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₃₄O₇Si: C, 61.31; H, 7.61; Si, 6.23. Found: C, 60.61; H, 7.54; Si, 6.13.

Propane-1,2-diol 24a.²² To a solution of 13b (0.32 g, 0.97 mmol) in dry ether (12 ml) was added dropwise at -65°C a 1M solution of DIBAH in toluene (1.94 ml, 1.94 mmol). The mixture was stirred at -65°C for 30 minutes, then treated with a 1M solution of HCl at room temperature for 30 minutes and the organic layer was decanted. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether and the combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated in vacuo. To a solution of the resulting silane in a 1:1 mixture of MeOH-THF (6 ml) was added at room temperature, KHCO₃ (0.29 g, 2.91 mmol), KF (0.17 g, 2.91 mmol) then a 30% solution of H₂O₂ (1.94 ml, 19.4 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 15h then treated cautiously at 0°C with Na₂S₂O₃ (1.8 g). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes, then diluted with ether, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo to give a yellow oil which was purified by chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 99:1) to afford (-)-menthol (128 mg, 85%) and 24a (46 mg, 62%).

Similarly, to a solution of 13a (0.24 g, 0.69 mmol) in dry ether (8 ml) was added dropwise at -65°C a 1M solution of DIBAH in toluene (1.38 ml, 1.38 mmol). The mixture was stirred at -65°C for 30 minutes, then treated with a 1M solution of HCl at room temperature for 30 minutes and the organic layer was decanted. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether and the combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in vacue. To the resulting oil in solution in peracetic acid (32% in acetic acid) (3.6 ml) and acetic anhydride (0.5 ml) was added Hg(OAc)₂

(0.33 g; 1.03 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2h and evaporated in vacuo. Ether was then added to the residue and the mixture was filtered and the solvent evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 99:1) gave (-)-menthol (87 mg, 80%) and 24a (25 mg, 48%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 3.92 (1H, ddq, J 2.9, 6.4, 7.8, CH₃CHOH), 3.64 (1H, dd, J 2.9, 11.0, CH_aH_bOH), 3.40 (1H, dd, J 7.8, 11.0, CH_aH_bOH), 2.51 (2H, broad s, 2 x OH), 1.17 (3H, d, J 6.4, CHCH₃). IR (CHCl₃) (υ_{max}): 3400 (O-H), 2970, 2920 (C-H), 2880, 1450, 1375, 1130, 1035, 990, 920, 835 cm⁻¹.

4-Methylpentane-1,2-diol 24b. 22 (45%, 2 steps). 1 H NMR (8 ppm): 3.86-3.78 (1H, m, CH₂CHOH), 3.67 (1H, dd, J 3.0, 11.1, CH₂H₆OH), 3.43 (1H, dd, J 7.8, 11.1, CH₂H₆OH), 2.09 (2H, broad s, 2 x OH), 1.84-1.73 (1H, m, CH₃CHCH₃), 1.47-1.33 (1H, m, CH₂CHOH), 1.25-1.14 (1H, m, CH₂H₆CHOH), 0.95 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH₃CHCH₃), 0.93 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH₃CHCH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(9 Umax): 3580, 3400 (O-H), 2950, 2920 (C-H), 2870, 1465, 1365, 1260, 1070, 910 cm⁻¹.

3-Phenylpropane-1,2-diol 24c.²² (50%, 2 steps). ¹H NMR (8 ppm): 7.37-7.22 (5H, m, Aromatic H), 3.97 (1H, dddd, J 3.2, 5.7, 7.0, 7.7, CH₂CHOH), 3.71 (1H, dd, J 3.2, 11.1, CH_aH_bOH), 3.53 (1H, dd, J 7.0, 11.1, CH_aH_bOH), 2.80 (1H, dd, J 5.7, 13.6, PhCH_cH_d), 2.78 (1H, dd, J 7.7, 13.6, PhCH_cH_d), 2.18 (2H, broad s, 2 x OH). IR (CHCl₃) (0_{max}): 3400 (O-H), 2970, 2920 (C-H), 2880, 1450, 1375, 1130, 1035, 990, 920, 835 cm⁻¹.

General procedure for the conversion of diols 24 into the corresponding acetals 25. A solution of propane-1,2-diol 24a (3 mg, 0.04 mmol), benzaldehyde (0.005 ml, 0.048 mmol) and p-toluenesulfonic acid (5 mg, 0.026 mmol) in dry benzene (5 ml) was refluxed for 20 minutes. The mixture was then cooled down to room temperature and washed successively with a 2% solution of Na₂CO₃, water, a 35% solution of NaHSO₃, water, dried (MgSO₄) and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The mixture was analyzed without further purification using ¹H NMR (360 MHz) and Eu(hfc)₃.

27a. 27a was prepared according to the general asymmetric insertion protocol described for 13a. (62%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.58-7.52 (4H, m, Aromatic H), 7.40-7.34 (6H, m, Aromatic H), 5.34 (2H, s, 2 x COCHOCO), 4.0 (2H, s, CH₂O), 3.97 (2H, s, CH₂O), 2.46 (1H, q, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 2.40 (1H, q, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.26 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.18 (3H, d, J 7.1, SiCHCH₃), 1.15 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.06 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.01 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.93 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.47 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.46 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.44 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.43 (3H, s, SiCH₃). IR (CHCl₃)(v_{max}): 2960 (C-H), 2900, 2880, 1790 (C=O), 1720 (C=O), 1460, 1400, 1380, 1370, 1310, 1260 (Si(CH₃)), 1160, 1130, 1110, 1100, 1010, 1000, 840, 820 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃): 320 (M⁺··, 9), 305 (M⁺·-CH₃, 3), 264 (10), 249 (8), 207 (26), 191 (20), 187 (19), 186 (18), 171 (18), 137 (21), 135 (PhSi(CH₃)₂⁺·, 100), 107 (11), 105 (PhSi⁺·, 20), 91 (C₇H₇⁺·, 13), 83 (13), 75 (24). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₄O₄Si: C, 63.72; H, 7.55; Si, 8.76. Found: C, 63.71; H, 7.52; Si, 8.78. This product was converted into diol 24a according to the general procedure.

27b. 27b was prepared as above (67%). ¹H NMR (δ ppm)(2 diastereoisomers): 7.62-7.16 (10H, m, Aromatic H), 5.36 (1H, s, COCHOCO), 5.34 (1H, s, COCHOCO), 4.00 (2H, s, CH₂O), 3.96 (2H, s, CH₂O), 3.79 (1H, s, SiCH), 3.74 (1H, s, SiCH), 1.14 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.12 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.00 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.99 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.47 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.42 (3H, s, SiCH₃), 0.35 (6H, s, 2 x SiCH₃). This sensitive product was converted into 1-phenylethane-1,2-diol following the general procedure described for 24a.

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Swiss National Science Foundation for generous support. We also thank Dr. S. Ainge for proof-reading this article.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- (a) Bagheri, V.; Doyle, M.P.; Taunton, J.; Claxton, L.E. J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 6158-6160; (b) Andrey, O.; Landais, Y.; Planchenault, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 2927-2930; (c) Andrey, O.; Landais, Y.; Planchenault, D.; Weber, V. Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 12083-12096; (d) Landais, Y.; Planchenault, D. Ibid., 1995, 51, 12097-12108; (e) Landais, Y.; Planchenault, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 4565-4568; (f) Andrey, O.; Landais, Y. Ibid., 1993, 34, 8435-8438; (g) Landais, Y.; Planchenault, D.; Weber, V. Ibid., 1995, 36, 2987-2990; (h) Andrey, O.; Glanzmann, C.; Landais, Y.; Parra-Rapado, L., Tetrahedron, preceding communication in this issue; (i) Barnier, J.-P.; Blanco, L. J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 514, 67-71.
- For other asymmetric access to α-silylcarbonyl compounds, see: (a) Enders, D.; Nakai, S. Helv. Chim. Acta, 1990, 73, 1833-1836; (b) Enders, D.; Nakai, S. Chem. Ber., 1991, 24, 219-226; (c) Bhushan, V.; Lohray, B.B.; Enders, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 5067-5070; (d) Gilloir, F.; Malacria, M. Ibid., 1992, 33, 3859-3862; (e) Le Bideau, F.; Gilloir, F.; Nilsson, Y.; Aubert, C.; Malacria, M. Ibid., 1995, 36, 1641-1644; (f) Paquette, L.A.; Maynard, J.D.; Ra, C.S.; Hoppe, M. J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 1408-1418; (g) Paquette, L.A.; Gilday, J.P.; Ra, C.S.; Hoppe, M. Ibid., 1988, 53, 704-706; (h) Gilday, J.P.; Gallucci, J.C.; Paquette, L.A. Ibid., 1989, 54, 1399-1408; (i) Le Bideau, F.; Aubert, C.; Malacria, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1995, 6, 697-700; (j) Le Bideau, F.; Gilloir, F.; Nilsson, Y.;

- Aubert, C.; Malacria, M. Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 7487-7510; (k) Enders, E; Lohray, B.B.; Burkamp, F.; Bhushan, V.; Hett, R. Liebigs. Ann. Chem., 1996, 189-200.
- 3. Ireland, R.E.; Muller, R.H.; Willard, A.K. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 2868-2877.
- 8a-b were prepared according to reported procedures: (a) Doyle, M.P.; Protopopova, M.N.; Brandes, B.D.; Davies, H.M.L.; Huby, N.J.S.; Whitesell, J.K. Synlett, 1993, 151-153; (b) Doyle, M.P.; Bagheri, V.; Wandless, T.J.; Harn, N.K.; Brinker, D.A.; Eagle, C.T.; Loh, K.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 1906-1912.
- 7a-c are commercially available. 7d was prepared by addition of the Grignard of 1-bromonaphtalene to (+)-camphor following a reported protocol: Somfai, P.; Tanner, D.; Olsson, T. Tetrahedron, 1985, 41, 5973-5980.
- 6. Ye, T.; McKervey, M.A. Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 1091-1160 and references cited therein.
- 7. Balwin, J.E.; Smith, R.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 1886-1890 and references cited therein.
- 8. Whitesell, J.K. Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 953-964.
- We also turned our attention to Evan's oxazolidinone where diazo 14 has been prepared following Doyle's procedure. ¹⁰ Surprisingly, attempts to insert the rhodium-carbenoid obtained from 14 into the Si-H bond of HMe₂SiCl failed to give the expected α-silylcarbonyl compound but instead produced the α-chloro amide 15 in excellent yield. As the presence of HCl (generated from HSiMe₂Cl) is very unlikely in our anhydrous reaction conditions, the insertion into the Si-Cl bond, involving a chloro-ylide intermediate, ¹¹ might be invoked here.

- 10. Doyle, M.P.; Dorow, R.L.; Terpstra, J.W.; Rodenhouse, R.A. J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 1663-1666.
- 11. Doyle, M.P. Acc. Chem. Res., 1986, 19, 348-356.
- 12. Nicoud, J.-F.; Kagan, H. Tetrahedron Lett., 1971, 2065-2068.
- (a) Landais, Y.; Planchenault, D.; Weber, V. Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 9549-9552; (b) Miller, D.J.; Moody, C.J. Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 10811-10843; (c) Aller, E.; Cox, G.G.; Miller, D.J.; Moody, C.J. Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 5949-5952; (d) Ferris, L.; Haigh, D.; Moody, C.J. Ibid., 1996, 37, 107-110.
- 14. For a recent synthesis of α-diazoesters, see : Taber, D.F.; You, K.; Song, Y. J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 1093-1094.
- Chiral auxiliaries 16d-e are commercially available. 16b-c were prepared from (1S)-(+)-camphorquinone, following a reported procedure: Oppolzer, W.; Chapuis, C.; Dao, G.M.; Reichlin, D.; Godel, T. Tetrahedron Lett., 1982, 23, 4781-4784.
- 16. (a) Corey, E.J.; Ensley, H.E. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 6908-6909; (b) Ort, O. Org. Synth., 1987, 65, 203-214.
- (a) Davies, H.M.L.; Saikali, E.; Young, W.B. J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 5696-5700; (b) Davies, H.M.L.; McAfee, M.J.; Oldenburg, C.E.M. Ibid., 1989, 54, 930-936.
- 18. Landais, Y.; Parra-Rapado, L.; Weber, V., unpublished results.
- (a) Doyle, M.P.; Westrum, L.J.; Wendelmoed, N.E.W.; See, M.M.; Boone, W.P.; Bagheri, V.; Pearson, M.M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 958-964; (b) Wee, A.G.H.; Liu, B. Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 145-148.
- For a similar behaviour found in rhodium-carbene insertion into the O-H bond, see: Cox, G.G.; Haigh, D.; Hindley, R.M.; Miller, D.J.; Moody, C.J. Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 3139-3142.
- 21. For reviews on the use of the silicon group as a masked hydroxy group, see: (a) Fleming, I. Chemtracts, Org. Chem., 1996, 9, 1-64; (b) Jones, G.R.; Landais, Y. Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 7599-7662. The oxidation of the Et₃Si group is not possible using reported procedures. ^{21a,b} The oxidation of the Ph₃Si group has already been reported but was not performed in our case due to the low diastereoselectivity of the insertion process.
- 22. 24a is commercially available (Fluka). 24b: Mori, K. Tetrahedron, 1976, 32, 1101-1106; 24c: Bergstein, W.;
 Kleemann, A.; Martens, J. Synthesis, 1981, 76-78.
- Acetals were prepared from the 1,2-diols in the presence of benzaldehyde and a catalytic amount of p-TsOH. The
 enantiomeric excesses were measured following Eliel's procedure: Eliel, E.L.; Ko, K.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett., 1983,
 34, 3547-3550.
- 24. Aller, E.; Brown, D.S.; Cox, G.G.; Miller, D.J.; Moody, C.J. J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 4449-4460.
- 25. Davies, H.M.L.; Huby, N.J.S.; Cantrell, W.R., Jr.; Olive, J.L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 9468-9479.
- 26. Reduction and C-Si bond oxidation of 27a-b gave diol 24a and 1-phenylethane-1,2-diol respectively.
- 27. Baum, J.S.; Shook, D.A.; Davies, H.M.L.; Smith, H.D. Synth. Commun., 1987, 17, 1709-1716.
- 28. Taber, D.F.; Ruckle, R.E., Jr.; Hennessy, M.J. J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 4077-4078.